**Original Article**,

## Depressive symptoms associated with brain morphometry in Mild Cognitive Impairment stage due to Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease

Dimitriou TD<sup>1, 4</sup> Tsapanou A.<sup>2</sup>, Mourtzi N.<sup>3</sup>, Hatzopoulou M.<sup>4</sup>,, Kamtsadeli V<sup>4</sup>, Tsinia N.<sup>4</sup>, Zagka A.<sup>4</sup>,, Koustimpi M<sup>4</sup>, Tzavara C.<sup>4</sup>, Toulas P.<sup>5</sup>, Papatriantafyllou J.<sup>4</sup>,<sup>6</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>1st Department of Neurology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 44 Salaminos Street, Halandri, 15232 Athens, Greece
 <sup>2</sup>Cognitive Neuroscience Division, Department of Neurology, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY
 <sup>3</sup>1st Neurology Clinic, Department of Social Medicine, Psychiatry and Neurology, Eginition Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 11528 Athens, Greece
 <sup>4</sup>Third Age Day Care Center, IASIS
 <sup>5</sup>Bioiatriki Medical Center, Ampelokipi-Athens, Greece
 <sup>6</sup>Memory Clinic, Medical Center of Athens, Marousi-Athens, Greece E-mail Address: tt.kirxof@gmail.com

## Abstract:

**Objectives**: Although there are studies linking depressive symptoms with psychiatric diseases, and then, with brain morphometry, scarce is the literature examining the association between depressive symptoms and brain morphometry in the prodromal stage of neurodegenerative disorders. In the current analysis, we used a fair sample of Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) stage due to Alzheimer's disease (AD) and Parkinson's disease (PD) patients, to examine the association between different depressive symptoms and a large variety of brain morphometric factors.

**Methods/ Design**: Our sample consisted of 352 patients. The Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) was used for the association with brain measurements. Principal Components Analysis (PCA) and regression models were used for the analyses. Age, sex, years of education, Addenbrooke's cognitive examination revised (ACE-R), and estimated total intracranial volume were used as covariates.

**Results**: Factor3 was significantly and positively correlated with GDS total, Dysthymia, Anxiety and Hopelessness. r\_factor1, r\_factor2 and r\_factor5 were significantly and positively correlated with Anxiety, while r\_factor5 was also correlated with GDS total. Concerning volumes, v\_factor3 was found to be correlated with GDS total, Dysthymia, Anxiety and Hopelessness.

**Conclusions**: The association between depressive symptoms and upcoming neurodegenerative disorders is reflected in human brain structural alterations, suggesting specific modifications in network-like patterns and neuronal connectivity. Characterizing differences in the morphometry of brain regions among MCI patients with depressive symptoms may aid the early diagnosis and treatment of these symptoms.

Keywords: Geriatric Depression Scale, Mild Cognitive Impairment, brain morphometry.

## **Introduction :**

Neurodegenerative diseases are commonly accompanied by depressive symptoms, especially

depression and anxiety [1]. The presence of depressive symptoms in Alzheimer's dementia (AD) and Parkinson's disease (PD) has a negative impact on the quality of life of both patients and their caregivers [2] and has been also linked with accelerated progression of disability, earlier institutionalization and mortality[3, 4]. Depressive symptoms of different diseases have been also associated with brain morphometry [5]. In regarding depression and general, anxiety disorders, reduced volume of the rostral-dorsal anterior cingulate gyrus appears as a generic effect [6]. Although there are studies linking depressive symptoms with psychiatric diseases, and then, with brain morphometry, scarce is the literature examining the association between depressive symptoms and brain morphometry in the context of neurodegenerative disorders.

During depressive symptoms, neuroplastic stressrelated processes occur in the hippocampus, cingulum, left amygdala, and right dorsomedial prefrontal cortex [7]. Depressive symptoms have been also associated with regional cortical metabolism in AD patients, suggesting that these symptoms are fundamental expressions of the cortical dysfunction of the disease [8]. However, reports are not quite linear, with a different study suggesting no association between atrophy of the amygdala and depressive symptoms in AD [9]. In a group of anxiety mild PD patients, psychiatric symptom severity was associated with decreased grey matter volume [10], indicating that the precuneus and the anterior cingulate cortex may play a significant role in the pathogenesis of anxiety in PD. By using the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), depressive symptoms have also been associated with brain morphometry in PD, and more precisely, with left hippocampal volume, and right parahippocampal gyrus volume [11]. However, a different study found no association between apathy and frontotemporal atrophy, in a small group of PD patients; raising questions about which symptom is associated with brain morphometry in this specific neurodegenerative disorder. A different study of patients with essential tremor suggests that symptoms of depression and anxiety could be linked to specific structural brain changes [12]. The discrepancy of these results may be explained by the complexity of brain circuits, highlighting the importance of an holistic assessment of brain structure with the stratification of many morphological features.

Existing literature points towards a contextspecific association between specific depressive symptoms and brain morphometry measures in neurodegenerative disorders. However, most of studies examine either AD the or PD independently, or in the stage of Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), or using a unique brain morphometric factor that cannot provide an accurate delineation of the morphological brain network. Further, most of the studies include quite a small sample size. In the current analysis, we used a fair sample of patients with MCI due to upcoming early AD or PD to examine the association between different depressive symptoms and a large variety of brain morphometric factors.

## Methods :

Participants were patients of the Third Age Day-Center IASIS (http://www.iasiscare amke.gr/kentro-imeras.html), and all signed an informed consent prior to the evaluation. The diagnosis of the clinical as well as cognitive status participant of each was reached through consensus diagnostic meetings of all the researchers main investigators, and both neurologists and neuropsychologists, with the use of a score higher than 23 in the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) as a cutoff, based on existing optimal diagnostic cutoff points [13]. Thus, individuals with MCI stage based on AD or PD diagnosis were included in the study. The diagnosis of AD or PD was based on the wellestablished diagnostic criteria [14, 15]. In both groups, individuals had 11 mean years of education, and mean age of 75 years (see Table 1). All participants answered the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), regarding how they felt over the past week of the examination, which is a self-reported measures of depression in older adults, consisted of 30 questions [16]. We further created scores reflecting five subscale categories of depression, identified by Adams et al.: dysthymia, withdrawal apathy, anxiety, cognitive concern, and hopelessness[17]. All participants were also evaluated on the Addenbrooke's cognitive examination (ACE-R), a test which was designed for dementia screening[18]. No further psychiatric evaluation was performed.

All patients underwent a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) exam within a period of two months from the time of assessment. A clinical brain MRI protocol was employed, which included a three-dimensional (3D), high spatial

resolution T1-weighted (3D HR-T1) gradient echo pulse sequence for the acquisition of detailed anatomical images. MRI scans were performed in different diagnostic imaging centers three different MR scanners. equipped with 6 Therefore, acquisition varied parameters depending on the MR scanner used. All imaging screened data were by an experienced neuroradiologist (P.T.) for the detection of abnormalities or pathologies and the presence of artifacts image (e.g., due to gross motion).Volumetric segmentation and cortical reconstruction were performed with the FreeSurfer software (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) (v.6.1)[19-21]. This was followed by parcellation of the cerebral cortex into units based on gyral and sulca structure, as described at the Destrieux cortical atlas[22]. One hundred forty-eight measures (74 from each hemisphere) and 41 regional volumes were generated. We used a PC (i7) Windows 11 for processing the images under NeuroDebian 8.0 cell and Oracle VirualBox 6.1.

with absolute and are presented relative frequencies. For the comparison of proportions chi-square test was used. For the comparison of mean values between study Student's t-test was performed. Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) adjusted for sex, age, years of education, ACE-R and estimated total intracranial volume (eTIV) were used to evaluate the difference of GDS scores between the two study groups. Principal component analysis (PCA) with Varimax rotation was performed in order to reduce variables associated with MRI volumes. The cut-off point for factor loadings was 0.40 and for eigenvalues it was 1.00. Cronbach's a was used to test internal consistency reliability of the factors. Partial correlation coefficients that are based on linear regression analysis were used to explore the association of GDS scores with MRI - components as produced from factor analyses and after controlling for age, educational years, ACE-R and eTIV. All reported p values are two-tailed. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05 and analyses were conducted using SPSS statistical software (version 24.0).

### **Statistical analysis:**

Quantitative variables are presented with mean and standard deviation (SD). Qualitative variables

	AD (N=281; 69.9%)	PD (N=71; 17.7%)	Р
Sex, N(%)			
Males	117 (41.6)	37 (52.1)	0.111+
Females	164 (58.4)	34 (47.9)	
Age (years), mean (SD)	75.6 (6.4)	75.0 (6.2)	0.444++
Years of education, mean (SD)	11.8 (5.8)	11.2 (4.6)	0.437++
MMSE, mean (SD)	26.0 (1.9)	26.5 (2.1)	0.038++
ACER, mean (SD)	72.9 (9.3)	75.8 (11.4)	0.030++
eTIV, mean (SD)	1468178.3 (166712.8)	1499159.6 (194204.4)	0.178++

+Pearson's chi square test; ++ Student's t-test

#### Table 2. GDS scores by study group

	AD	PD	<b>P</b> +
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	PD vs AD
GDS total	7.49 (6.22)	12.35 (6.94)	< 0.001
Dysthymia	1.99 (2.14)	3.54 (2.58)	< 0.001
Withdrawal apathy	1.59 (1.43)	2.99 (1.68)	< 0.001

Anxiety	1.04 (1.20)	1.46 (1.14)	0.014
Cognitiveconcern	0.96 (1.00)	1.28 (1.03)	0.043
Hopelessness	0.64 (0.98)	1.31 (1.29)	< 0.001

+Student's t-test

#### Table 3. Odds Ratio for the difference of GDS scores between study groups

	PD vs AD	
	OR (95% CI)	Р
GDS total	1.12 (1.07 – 1.17)	< 0.001
Dysthymia	1.34 (1.19 – 1.50)	< 0.001
Withdrawal apathy	1.83 (1.52 – 2.21)	< 0.001
Anxiety	1.35 (1.08 – 1.68)	0.008
Cognitive concern	1.35 (1.04 – 1.75)	0.023
Hopelessness	1.68 (1.33 – 2.13)	< 0.001

<sup>\*</sup>Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) adjusted for sex, age, years of education, ACE-R and eTIV

# Table 4. Factor loadings from Principal component analysis for MRI components of left hemisphere. Names of structures were derived from the study of Destrieux et al [22]

MRI components o	f left hemisphere	Factor					
Short Name	Description	1	2	3	4	5	6
LGV_G_and_S_frontomargin	Fronto-marginal gyrus and sulcus						
LGV_G_and_S_occipital_inf	Inferior occipital gyrus and sulcus						0.4 9
LGV_G_and_S_paracentral	Paracentral lobule and sulcus				0.59		
LGV_G_and_S_subcentral	Subcentral gyrus and sulci		0.44				
LGV_G_and_S_transv_ frontopol	Transverse frontopolar gyri and sulci					0.62	
LGV_G_and_S_cingul_Ant	Anterior part of the cingulate gyrus and sulcus	0.49					
LGV_G_and_S_cingul_Mid _Ant	Middle-anterior part of the cingulate gyrus and sulcus	0.49					
LGV_G_and_S_cingul_Mid _Post	Middle-posterior part of the cingulate gyrus and sulcus		0.6				
LGV_G_cingul_Post_dorsal	Posterior-dorsal part of the cingulate gyrus		0.61				
LGV_G_cingul_Post_ventral	Posterior-ventral part of the cingulate gyrus		0.52				
LGV_G_cuneus	Cuneus		0.56				
LGV_G_front_inf_Opercular	Opercular part of the inferior frontal gyrus	0.45					
LGV_G_front_inf_Orbital	Orbital part of the inferior frontal gyrus					0.44	
LGV_G_front_in_Triangul	Triangular part of the inferior frontal gyrus					0.55	
LGV_G_front_middle	Middle frontal gyrus				0.6		
LGV_G_front_sup	Superior frontal gyrus				0.59		
LGV_G_Ins_lg_and_S_ cent_ins	Long insular gyrus and central sulcus of the insula		0.62				
LGV_G_insular_short	Short insular gyri		0.58				
LGV_G_occipital_middle	Middle occipital gyrus						0.4
LGV_G_occipital_sup	Superior occipital gyrus						0.4 9
LGV_G_oc_temp_lat_fusifor	Lateral occipito-temporal gyrus		0.47				

LGV_G_oc_temp_med_Lingual	Ligual part of the medial occipito-temporal gyrus		0.61			
LGV_G_oc_temp_med_Parahip	Parahippocampal part of the medial occipito-temporal gyrus			0.52		
ICV C orbital	Orbital avri	0.54				
		0.54			0.55	
LGV_G_pariet_inf_Angular	Angular gyrus				0.55	
LGV_G_pariet_inf_Supramar	Supramarginal gyrus				0.6	
LGV_G_parietal_sup	Superior parietal lobule				0.65	
LGV_G_postcentral	Postcentral gyrus				0.78	
LGV G precentral	Precentral gyrus				0.81	
LGV C precupeus	Precupeus		0.57		0.01	
LOV_O_precureus	Straight grants Crants region	0.52	0.57			
LGV_G_rectus	Suaight gylus, Gylus lectus	0.55		0.55		
LGV_G_SUDCAIIOSAI	gyrus			0.55		
LGV_G_temp_sup_G_T_transv	Anterior transverse temporal gyrus	0.53				
LGV_G_temp_sup_Lateral	Lateral aspect of the superior temporal gyrus	0.48				
LGV G temp sup Plan polar	Planum polare of the superior			0.67		
	temporal gyrus					
LGV G temp sup Plan tempo	Planum temporale of the	0.45				
	superior temporal gyrus					
LGV G temporal inf	Inferior temporal gyrus			0.54		
LGV G temporal middle	Middle temporal gyrus	0.56				
I CV Lat Fis ant Horizont	Horizontal ramus of the anterior	0.50				
	segment of the lateral sulcus	0.55				
LGV_Lat_Fis_ant_Vertical	Vertical ramus of the anterior segment of the lateral sulcus					
LGV_Lat_Fis_post	Posterior ramus of the lateral sulcus	0.74				
LGV_Pole_occipital	Occipital pole					0.6
I CV Pole temporal	Temporal pole					
LCV_S coloorino	Calcorino sulcus		0.64			
			0.04			
LVG_S_central	Central sulcus		0.58			
LGV_S_cingul_Marginalis	Marginal branch of the cingulate sulcus	0.55				
LGV_S_circular_insula_ant	Anterior segment of the circular sulcus of the insula	0.57				
LGV_S_circular_insula_inf	Inferior segment of the circular sulcus of the insula	0.68				
LGV_S_circular_insula_sup	Superior segment of the circular sulcus of the insula	0.77				
LGV_S_collat_transv_ant	Anterior transverse collateral			0.56		
LGV_S_collat_transv_post	Posterior transverse collateral		0.47			
	sulcus					
LGV_S_front_inf	Inferior frontal sulcus	0.56				
LGV_S_front_middle	Middle frontal sulcus	0.54				
LGV_S_front_sup	Superior frontal sulcus		0.41			
LGV S interm prim Jensen	Sulcus intermedius primus					
LGV_S_intrapariet_and_P_	Intraparietal sulcus and	0.57				
LGV_S_oc_middle_and_	Middle occipital sulcus and					0.4
Lunatus	lunatus sulcus		0.7			
LGV_S_oc_sup_and_	Superior occipital sulcus and		0.5			
transversal	transverse occipital sulcus					
LGV_S_occipital_ant	Anterior occipital sulcus and					

	preoccipital notch						
LGV_S_oc_temp_lat	Lateral occipito-temporal sulcus			0.4			
LGV_S_oc_temp_med_and_	Medial occipito-temporal sulcus			0.46			
Lingual	and lingual sulcus						
LGV_S_orbital_lateral	Lateral orbital sulcus	0.56					
LGV_S_orbital_med_olfact	Medial orbital sulcus	0.73					
LGV_S_orbital_H_Shaped	Orbital sulci			0.55			
LGV_S_parieto_occipital	Parieto-occipital sulcus		0.58				
LGV_S_pericallosal	Pericallosal sulcus	0.59					
LGV_S_postcentral	Postcentral sulcus		0.47				
LGV_S_precentral_inf_part	Inferior part of the precentral sulcus	0.42					
ICV S precentral sup part	Superior part of the precentral		0.5				
LOV_S_precentral_sup_part	sulcus		0.5				
LGV_S_suborbital	Suborbital sulcus		0.47				
LGV_S_subparietal	Subparietal sulcus	0.59					
LGV_S_temporal_inf	Inferior temporal sulcus			0.72			
LGV_S_temporal_sup	Superior temporal sulcus	0.43		0.56			
LGV_S_temporal_transverse	Transverse temporal sulcus						
% variance explained		15.12	12.19	8.67	7.99	4.06	3.5
							7
Cronbach's a		0.91	0.92	0.78	0.84	0.6	0.7

 Table 5. Factor loadings from Principal component analysis for MRI components of left hemisphere. Names of structures were derived from the study of Destrieux et al [22]

MRI components of right hemisphere		Factor					
Short Name	Description	1	2	3	4	5	6
RGV_G_and_S_frontomargin	Fronto-marginal gyrus and			0.44			
	sulcus						
RGV_G_and_S_occipital_inf	Inferior occipital gyrus and		0.59				
	sulcus						
RGV_G_and_S_paracentral	Paracentral lobule and sulcus			0.5			
RGV_G_and_S_subcentral	Subcentral gyrus and sulci				0.49		
RGV_G_and_S_transv_frontopol	Transverse frontopolar gyri and				0.55		
	sulci						
RGV_G_and_S_cingul_Ant	Anterior part of the cingulate				0.52		
	gyrus and sulcus						
RGV_G_and_S_cingul_Mid_Ant	Middle-anterior part of the	0.53					
	cingulate gyrus and sulcus						
RGV_G_and_S_cingul_Mid_Post	Middle-posterior part of the						0.
	cingulate gyrus and sulcus						58
RGV_G_cingul_Post_dorsal	Posterior-dorsal part of the		0.47				
	cingulate gyrus						
RGV_G_cingul_Post_ventral	Posterior-ventral part of the		0.6				
	cingulate gyrus						
RGV_G_cuneus	Cuneus		0.59				
RGV_G_front_inf_Opercular	Opercular part of the inferior				0.52		
	frontal gyrus						
RGV_G_front_inf_Orbital	Orbital part of the inferior frontal				0.54		
	gyrus						
RGV_G_front_in_Triangul	Triangular part of the inferior	0.48					
	frontal gyrus						
RGV_G_front_middle	Middle frontal gyrus			0.58			
RGV_G_front_sup	Superior frontal gyrus			0.66			

RGV_G_Ins_lg_and_S_cent_ins	Long insular gyrus and central		0.43		0.52		
<b>DCV</b> C incular short	Short insular gyri				0.40		
RGV_G_IIISular_short	Middle accinital sumus		0.56		0.49	_	
RGV_G_occipital_inidate	Superior escipital syrus		0.30	0.45			
RGV_G_occipital_sup	Superior occipital gyrus		0.55	0.43			
RGV_G_oc_temp_lat_fusifor	Lateral occipito-temporal gyrus		0.55				
RGV_G_oc_temp_med_Lingual	Ligual part of the medial		0.61				
DCV C as town mad Darshin	Derebinnessempel part of the					0.62	
KGv_G_oc_temp_med_Paramp	medial occipito temporal gyrus					0.02	
DCV C orbital	Orbital avri				0.52		
RGV_G_pariet inf Angular	Angular gyrus			0.56	0.52	_	
DCV C pariet inf Supremer	Supremarginal gyrus			0.50			
PCV C parietal sup	Superior parietal lobula			0.55			
RGV_G_partean_sup	Desteontrol gurus			0.75			
RGV_G_postcentral	Procentral gyrus			0.79			
RGV_G_precentral	Precential gylus	0.42		0.8			
RGV_G_preculieus	Straight sympa Campa as stud	0.42		_	0.67	_	
DCV C gubeslagel	Subsellessel area subsellessel				0.07	0.41	
KGV_G_SUDCAIIOSAI	gyrus					0.41	
RGV_G_temp_sup_G_T_transv	Anterior transverse temporal	0.4					
RGV_G_temp_sup_Lateral	Lateral aspect of the superior	0.44					
	temporal gyrus						
RGV_G_temp_sup_Plan_polar	Planum polare of the superior temporal gyrus					0.65	
RGV_G_temp_sup_Plan_tempo	Planum temporale of the				0.51		
	superior temporal gyrus						
RGV_G_temporal_inf	Inferior temporal gyrus					0.69	
RGV_G_temporal_middle	Middle temporal gyrus	0.43					
RGV_Lat_Fis_ant_Horizont	Horizontal ramus of the anterior	0.58					
	segment of the lateral sulcus						
RGV_Lat_Fis_ant_Vertical	Vertical ramus of the anterior segment of the lateral sulcus						
RGV_Lat_Fis_post	Posterior ramus of the lateral	0.67					
	sulcus						
RGV_Pole_occipital	Occipital pole		0.59				
RGV_Pole_temporal	Temporal pole					0.52	
RGV_S_calcarine	Calcarine sulcus		0.61				
RGV_S_central	Central sulcus						0.
RGV_S_cingul_Marginalis	Marginal branch of the cingulate	0.51					
RGV S circular insula ant	Anterior segment of the circular	0.59					
	sulcus of the insula	0.39					
RGV_S_circular_insula_inf	Inferior segment of the circular sulcus of the insula	0.65					
RGV_S_circular_insula_sup	Superior segment of the circular sulcus of the insula	0.62					
RGV_S_collat_transv_ant	Anterior transverse collateral					0.64	
	sulcus						
RGV_S_collat_transv_post	Posterior transverse collateral sulcus	0.47					

RGV_S_front_inf	Inferior frontal sulcus	0.47					
RGV_S_front_middle	Middle frontal sulcus						0. 47
RGV_S_front_sup	Superior frontal sulcus						0. 64
RGV_S_interm_prim_Jensen	Sulcus intermedius primus						
RGV_S_intrapariet_and_P_trans	Intraparietal sulcus and transverse parietal sulci		0.4				
RGV_S_oc_middle_and_Lunatus	Middle occipital sulcus and lunatus sulcus	0.42					
RGV_S_oc_sup_and_transversal	Superior occipital sulcus and transverse occipital sulcus	0.49					
RGV_S_occipital_ant	Anterior occipital sulcus and preoccipital notch						
RGV_S_oc_temp_lat	Lateral occipito-temporal sulcus					0.43	
RGV_S_oc_temp_med_and_Lingu al	Medial occipito-temporal sulcus and lingual sulcus		0.43				
RGV_S_orbital_lateral	Lateral orbital sulcus						
RGV_S_orbital_med_olfact	Medial orbital sulcus	0.58					
RGV_S_orbital_H_Shaped	Orbital sulci					0.48	
RGV_S_parieto_occipital	Parieto-occipital sulcus		0.46				
RGV_S_pericallosal	Pericallosal sulcus	0.68					
RGV_S_postcentral	Postcentral sulcus		0.47				
RGV_S_precentral_inf_part	Inferior part of the precentral sulcus	0.42					
RGV_S_precentral_sup_part	Superior part of the precentral sulcus						0. 55
RGV_S_suborbital	Suborbital sulcus						
RGV_S_subparietal	Subparietal sulcus	0.4					
RGV_S_temporal_inf	Inferior temporal sulcus					0.7	
RGV_S_temporal_sup	Superior temporal sulcus	0.52					
RGV_S_temporal_transverse	Transverse temporal sulcus	0.47					
% variance explained		11.45	9.4	8.93	8.08	7.39	6. 28
Cronbach's a		0.87	0.88	0.83	0.84	0.78	0. 8

## **Results:**

Our sample consisted of 352 subjects, with a mean age of 74.3 years old (SD=7.4). Demographic and clinical characteristics by study group are shown in Table 1. PD group exhibited significantly higher score in MMSE (p=0.038) and ACE-R (p=0.03) compared to AD group.

GDS scores by study group and comparison with normal subjects are shown in Table 2. After adjustment for sex, age, years of education, ACE-R and eTIV, all GDS dimensions appeared to be significantly higher in PD cases compared to AD patients (p<0.05). PD cases were associated with higher odds of being depressed or exhibiting subscale symptoms of depression compared to AD cases, in the fully adjusted model (see Table 3). PCA -generated 6 factors for the right and left hemispheres that explained 51.6% and 51.53% of the total variance, respectively -. MRI components with loadings exceeding 0.4 are provided in Table 4 and Table 5. Among all MRI components of both right and left hemispheres, segments of the circular the sulcus of insula (LGV\_S\_circular\_insula anterior, inferior and superior) as well as the area of Posterior ramus of the lateral sulcus (LGV\_Lat\_Fis\_post ) had the highest factor loadings (0.57-0.77), suggesting that differences in these brain regions exert thelargest effect on GDS scores (Table 5,6). Regarding brain volumes, 5 factors were identified from PCA that explained 74% of the variance (see Table 6). Cronbach's alpha for all

factors was higher than 0.7, indicating an acceptable level of reliability.

Next, we tested correlations between the MRIs factors for the left and right hemisphere, generated by PCA, with GDS total and sub-scale scores (dysthymia, withdrawal apathy, anxiety, cognitive concern, and hopelessness). Multiple significant correlations were observed between factors and GDS scores even - after adjustment for sex, age, vears of education, ACE-R and eTIV. Results are presented in Tables 7 to 9. Specifically, the third factor (l\_factor3) of left hemisphere was positively associated with GDS total scores (p=0.004). Dysthymia (p=0.014),Anxietv (p < 0.001), and Hopelessness (p = 0.015) (see Table 7). When we examined the right hemisphere, we observed a positive correlation between anxiety symptoms and, the first - (p=0.013), -second (p=0.045), and - fifth factor (p=0.006) (see Table 8). Moreover, the fifth factor (r factor5) of the right hemisphere was marginally associated with GDS total scores (p=0.05). Further, we investigated the association between total and subscale GDS scores with factors of MRI volumes. The analysis revealed a positive association of the second factor (v factor2) and GDS total score (p=0.023), Dysthymia (p=0.022), Anxiety (p=0.005), and Hopelessness (p=0.004) (see Table 9) Moreover, the third factor (v factor3) of MRI volumes was marginally and positevely associated with Anxiety score (p=0.049).

Table 6.	Factor	loadings fro	m Principa	l componen	t analysis fo	or MRI	volumes
Lable of	I accor	iouumgo ii o	m i i meipa	1 componen	<i>c</i> analy 515 10		, orannes

	Factor						
	1	2	3	4	5		
VOL_Left_Cerebellum_Cortex					0.91		
VOL_Left_Thalamus_Proper			0.82				
VOL_Left_Caudate				0.85			
VOL_Left_Putamen				0.63			
VOL_Left_Pallidum	0.57						
VOL_Brain_Stem					0.64		
VOL_Left_Hippocampus		0.72					
VOL_Left_Amygdala		0.73					
VOL_Left_Accumbens_area		0.64					
VOL_Left_VentralDC			0.54				
VOL_Right_Cerebellum_F49Cortex					0.91		
VOL_Right_Thalamus_Proper			0.73				
VOL_Right_Caudate				0.86			
VOL_Right_Putamen				0.62			
VOL_Right_Pallidum	0.66						
VOL_Right_Hippocampus		0.77					
VOL_Right_Amygdala		0.79					
VOL_Right_Accumbens_area		0.68					
VOL_Right_VentralDC			0.44				
VOL_CC_Posterior	0.76						
VOL_CC_Mid_Posterior	0.89						
VOL_CC_Central	0.85						
VOL_CC_Mid_Anterior	0.82						
VOL_CC_Anterior	0.78						
Variance explained (%)	20.0	17.6	12.6	12.2	11.5		
Cronbach's a	0.88	0.81	0.87	0.84	0.87		

Table 7.Partial correlation coefficients of GDS scores with MRI components of left hemisphere after adjusting for sex, age,years of education, disease, ACE-R and eTIV

Left		GDS total	Dysthymia	Withdrawal apathy	Anxiety	Cognitive concern	Hopelessness
l_factor1	r	0.04	0.04	-0.06	0.09	0.02	0.03
	Р	0.496	0.516	0.300	0.084	0.648	0.611

International Journal of Medical Science and Clinical Invention, vol. 10, Issue 10, October 2023

l_factor2	r	0.01	-0.01	-0.05	0.09	-0.03	0.02
	Р	0.910	0.820	0.314	0.083	0.523	0.753
l_factor3	r	0.15	0.13	0.06	0.22	0.01	0.13
	Р	0.004	0.014	0.305	<0.001	0.823	0.015
l_factor4	r	-0.03	-0.03	0.00	0.02	-0.05	0.02
	Р	0.541	0.539	0.985	0.669	0.325	0.752
l_factor5	r	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.03	-0.01	0.10
	Р	0.494	0.505	0.195	0.530	0.852	0.075
l_factor6	r	0.02	0.02	-0.03	0.07	0.06	0.04
	Р	0.716	0.664	0.553	0.195	0.280	0.455

## Table 8.Partial correlation coefficients of GDS scores with MRI components of right hemisphere after adjusting for sex, age, years of education, disease, ACE-R and eTIV (continued)

Right		GDS total	Dysthymia	Withdrawal apathy	Anxiety	Cognitive	Hopelessness
r_factor1	r	0.06	0.05	-0.02	0.13	0.03	0.02
~	Р	0.280	0.322	0.740	0.013	0.585	0.755
r_factor2	r	0.03	0.03	-0.04	0.11	0.00	0.04
	Р	0.541	0.547	0.413	0.045	0.928	0.410
r_factor3	r	-0.02	-0.03	0.02	0.01	-0.04	0.03
	Р	0.681	0.636	0.710	0.886	0.492	0.577
r_factor4	r	-0.01	-0.02	-0.03	0.06	-0.04	0.04
	Р	0.861	0.688	0.541	0.272	0.510	0.473
r_factor5	r	0.11	0.10	0.04	0.15	-0.02	0.09
	Р	0.050	0.071	0.516	0.006	0.718	0.100
r_factor6	r	-0.07	-0.10	-0.08	0.01	0.00	-0.06
	Р	0.192	0.064	0.133	0.784	0.947	0.255

Table 9.Partial correlation coefficients of GDS scores with MRI components after adjusting for sex, age, years of education, disease, ACE-R and eTIV (continued)

Volume		GDS total	Dysthymia	Withdrawal	Anxiety	Cognitive	Hopelessness
				apathy		concern	
v_factor1	r	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.08	-0.04	0.08
	Р	0.428	0.906	0.301	0.130	0.455	0.118
v_factor2	r	0.12	0.12	0.05	0.15	0.03	0.15
	Р	0.023	0.022	0.313	0.005	0.627	0.004
v_factor3	r	0.07	0.08	-0.02	0.11	0.02	0.05
	Р	0.179	0.136	0.695	0.049	0.673	0.331
v_factor4	r	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.05
	Р	0.462	0.887	0.972	0.665	0.807	0.330
v_factor5	r	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.09	0.07	-0.04
	Р	0.406	0.520	0.571	0.099	0.189	0.485

#### **Discussion:**

In the present study, we integrated information from multiple domains of MRI data to examine if -depressive symptoms - among MCI patients were associated with brain morphometry patterns. Regarding depressive symptoms, dysthymia, anxiety, and hopelessness were associated with features of left-brain morphometry, while, anxiety, apart from the association with the left hemisphere, was further associated with features of right brain morphometry, irrespectively of demographic and clinical factors. The current study provides evidence for the context-dependent association of morphometric brain structures with depressive symptoms that are prevalent in MCI patients.

To analyze the large MRI data and to. uncover associations between specific brain regions with

depressive symptoms, we leveraged the method of PCA [23]. PCA allowed us to reduce the multidimensional and interrelated MRI data into uncorrelated variables, named as factors or principal components, that explain the maximum variance of depression symptoms. Each factor is comprised of different and uncorrelated MRI components. The factor loading of each MRI component reflects the correlation coefficient with the given factor, with items having the highest factor loading values to be associated stronger with the factor. Thus, this method allowed us to reduce the dimension of MRI data and identify which brain features are the most discriminating for depressive symptoms among MCI patients. Our findings suggest that differences in the brain regions of the circular sulcus of the insula and the area of posterior ramus of the lateral sulcus, of both hemispheres, explain most of the variability observed in GDS scores of MCI patients. Patients with major depression disorder (MDD) have been found exhibit abnormal activity to and connectivity of insula primary regions suggesting that this distinct brain area may play an important role in the pathogenesis of depression [24]. Thus, our findings are in line with those of previous research, supporting that insula area is affected in patients with depression symptoms. To our knowledge, no other studies until now have associated lateral sulcus regions with depression but given the anatomical adjacency of the two regions; i.e. insula is located deep inside the lateral sulcus [25], one could hypothesize that the functional network of this area is also altered.

Based on our findings, anxiety seems to be the only psychiatric symptom being associated with both left and right hemisphere. A wealth of neuroimaging studies have been conducted so far to determine structural and functional brain characteristics for anxiety disorders [26]. For instance, a study conducted in children with generalized anxiety disorder reported a higher ratio of gray matter to white matter in the upper temporal lobe of this cohort compared to control [27]; while different effects of distinct brain regions and lateralization in different anxiety disorders are mentioned on а different investigation [26]. Such results suggest that anxiety disorders are caused to a certain extent by differential activity in certain prefrontal cortex areas, paving the way to the inclusion of neuroimaging techniques in the diagnostic process

of anxiety disorders [26]. In the field of neurodegenerative disorders, anxiety has been associated with depression, irritability, aggression, and mania among AD patients, and these aspects have been linked to frontal and prefrontal brain regions of both hemispheres [28]. In the current study anxiety was associated with regions of both sides of the brain in accordance with existing literature, indicating the prevailing role of anxiety in neurodegenerative disorders.

There is a high prevalence of dysthymia and major depression among patients with AD [29]. Although some studies suggest that dysthymia might be an emotional reaction to the progressive cognitive decline, and depression might be highly associated with biological factors [29-32], our results provide evidence that these symptoms can be reflected in brain regions differences among patients with neurodegenerative disorders. This is extremely prominent considering that early detection of depression onset in these patients can facilitate the prompt application of a treatment plan that may delay disease progression. Furthermore, our results are in accordance to previous reports and significantly contribute to a better understanding of psychopathology and the interaction between depressive symptoms and neurodegenerative disorders as illustrated by neuroimaging data [33].

The present study is the first to our knowledge which examines a large number of brain measurements in association with both global GDS and specific neuro-depressive symptoms in the MCI stage due to both AD and PD cases. On the other hand, the fact that the depressive symptoms 'evaluation was based on the GDS questionnaire as the only instrument is the main limitation of the study.

Depressive symptoms are not just associated with upcoming neurodegenerative disorders; there is now a link with specific brain measurements in MCI due to AD and PD diseases. It remains to be seen if the observed brain alterations are a consequence or a cause of neurodegeneration. Future longitudinal analyses should shed more light on the directionality of the results. Nevertheless, the current study significantly contributes to the field of the clinical application of neuroimaging in the diagnosis of psychiatric disorders that impact MCI patients. Such results could help on the patients 'treatment; probably

aiding on further relief of the multiple symptoms of these neurodegenerative disorders.

## **Référence:**

- [1] Woolley, J.D., et al., *The diagnostic challenge of psychiatric symptoms in neurodegenerative disease: rates of and risk factors for prior psychiatric diagnosis in patients with early neurodegenerative disease.* J Clin Psychiatry, 2011. **72**(2): p. 126-33.
- [2] Baquero, M. and N. Martin, *Depressive symptoms in neurodegenerative diseases*. World J Clin Cases, 2015. **3**(8): p. 682-93.
- [3] Finkel, S.I., et al., Behavioral and psychological signs and symptoms of dementia: a consensus statement on current knowledge and implications for research and treatment. Int Psychogeriatr, 1996. 8 Suppl 3: p. 497-500.
- [4] Hughes, T.A., et al., *Mortality in Parkinson's disease and its association with dementia and depression*. Acta Neurol Scand, 2004. **110**(2): p. 118-23.
- [5] Gold, A.L., et al., Comparing Brain Morphometry Across Multiple Childhood Psychiatric Disorders. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry, 2016. 55(12): p. 1027-1037 e3.
- [6] van Tol, M.J., et al., *Regional brain volume in depression and anxiety disorders*. Arch Gen Psychiatry, 2010. **67**(10): p. 1002-11.
- [7] Frodl, T.S., et al., *Depression-related* variation in brain morphology over 3 years: effects of stress? Arch Gen Psychiatry, 2008. **65**(10): p. 1156-65.
- [8] Sultzer, D.L., et al., The relationship between psychiatric symptoms and regional cortical metabolism in Alzheimer's disease. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci, 1995. 7(4): p. 476-84.
- [9] Horinek, D., et al., *Amygdalar volume and psychiatric symptoms in Alzheimer's disease: an MRI analysis.* Acta Neurol Scand, 2006. **113**(1): p. 40-5.
- [10] Wee, N., et al., Neural correlates of anxiety symptoms in mild Parkinson's disease: A prospective longitudinal voxel-based morphometry study. J Neurol Sci, 2016.
  371: p. 131-136.

- [11] Goto, M., et al., Depressive symptoms in Parkinson's disease are related to decreased left hippocampal volume: correlation with the 15-item shortened version of the Geriatric Depression Scale. Acta Radiol, 2018. **59**(3): p. 341-345.
- [12] Sengul, Y., et al., Neuroimaging depression and anxiety in essential tremor: A diffusion tensor imaging study. Clin Imaging, 2019.
  58: p. 96-104.
- [13] Hoops, S., et al., Validity of the MoCA and MMSE in the detection of MCI and dementia in Parkinson disease. Neurology, 2009. 73(21): p. 1738-45.
- [14] Dubois, B., et al., Advancing research diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer's disease: the IWG-2 criteria. Lancet Neurol, 2014. 13(6): p. 614-29.
- [15] Postuma, R.B., et al., *MDS clinical diagnostic criteria for Parkinson's disease*. Mov Disord, 2015. 30(12): p. 1591-601.
- [16] Yesavage, J.A., *Geriatric Depression Scale*. Psychopharmacol Bull, 1988. 24(4): p. 709-11.
- [17] Adams, K.B., H.C. Matto, and S. Sanders, *Confirmatory factor analysis of the geriatric depression scale.* Gerontologist, 2004. 44(6): p. 818-26.
- [18] Mioshi, E., et al., The Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination Revised (ACE-R): a brief cognitive test battery for dementia screening. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry, 2006. 21(11): p. 1078-85.
- [19] Fischl, B., *FreeSurfer*. Neuroimage, 2012.62(2): p. 774-81.
- [20] Vogt, B.A., G.R. Berger, and S.W. Derbyshire, *Structural and functional dichotomy of human midcingulate cortex*. Eur J Neurosci, 2003. 18(11): p. 3134-44.
- [21] Vogt, B.A. and L. Vogt, Cytology of human dorsal midcingulate and supplementary motor cortices. J Chem Neuroanat, 2003.
   26(4): p. 301-9.
- [22] Destrieux, C., et al., Automatic parcellation of human cortical gyri and sulci using standard anatomical nomenclature. Neuroimage, 2010. 53(1): p. 1-15.
- [23] Holt-Gosselin, B., et al., Coping Strategies, Neural Structure, and Depression and Anxiety During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Longitudinal Study in a Naturalistic Sample Spanning Clinical Diagnoses and

Subclinical Symptoms. Biol Psychiatry Glob Open Sci, 2021. 1(4): p. 261-271.

- [24] Avery, J.A., et al., *Major depressive* disorder is associated with abnormal interoceptive activity and functional connectivity in the insula. Biol Psychiatry, 2014. **76**(3): p. 258-66.
- [25] Uddin, L.Q., et al., Structure and Function of the Human Insula. J Clin Neurophysiol, 2017. 34(4): p. 300-306.
- [26] Engel, K., et al., *Neuroimaging in anxiety disorders*. J Neural Transm (Vienna), 2009. 116(6): p. 703-16.
- [27] Martin, E.I., et al., *The neurobiology of anxiety disorders: brain imaging, genetics, and psychoneuroendocrinology.* Psychiatr Clin North Am, 2009. **32**(3): p. 549-75.
- [28] Ferretti, L., et al., Anxiety and Alzheimer's disease. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol, 2001. 14(1): p. 52-8.
- [29] Migliorelli, R., et al., *Prevalence and correlates of dysthymia and major depression among patients with Alzheimer's disease*. Am J Psychiatry, 1995. 152(1): p. 37-44.
- [30] Theofilas, P., et al., *Turning on the Light Within: Subcortical Nuclei of the Isodentritic Core and their Role in Alzheimer's Disease Pathogenesis.* J Alzheimers Dis, 2015. 46(1): p. 17-34.
- [31] Stratmann, K., et al., Precortical Phase of Alzheimer's Disease (AD)-Related Tau

*Cytoskeletal Pathology*. Brain Pathol, 2016. **26**(3): p. 371-86.

- [32] 32. Matchett, B.J., et al., *The* mechanistic link between selective vulnerability of the locus coeruleus and neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathologica, 2021. 141(5): p. 631-650.
- [33] Levenson, R.W., V.E. Sturm, and C.M. Haase, *Emotional and Behavioral Symptoms in Neurodegenerative Disease: A Model for Studying the Neural Bases of Psychopathology*. Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 2014. 10(1): p. 581-606.

**Open Access** This article is licensedunder a  $\odot$ Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other thirdparty material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.